

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO.                               | PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/497,743                                    | 02/04/2000                 |            | Jae-Yoel Kim         | 678-452(P9148)          | 7860             |
| 7   | 590                        | 07/14/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| Paul J Farrell                                |                            |            | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| Dilworth & Barrese<br>333 Earle Ovington Blvd |                            |            |                      | KUMAR, P                | ANKAJ            |
| Uniondale, NY 11553                           |                            |            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
|   |                            |            |                      | 2631                    | <u> </u>         |
|   |                            |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003 | )                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| J   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.        | Applicant(s) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Astion Comments  | 09/497,743             | KIM ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner               | Art Unit     |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Pankaj Kumar           | 2631         |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A   | A <u>pril 2003</u> .   |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | s action is non-final. |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11</u> is/are rejected.  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 6</u> is/are objected to.   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |                        |              |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2631

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/29/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for some of the claims.
- 2. The preamble does not have patentable weight. So, as an example, the recitation of the first seven lines of claim 1 do not have patentable weight. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).
- 3. Applicant argues that Czaja does not teach various limitations since many disparate sections of Czaja were cited. The office respectfully traverses this argument since citing many disparate sections of Czaja does not negate the fact that Czaja teaches those cited limitations.
- 4. Applicant argues that a second antenna is not a duplication of the first antenna since the second antenna transmits a second signal at the same time that the first antenna transmits a first signal. This argument is respectfully traversed since in claims 4 and 7, there is no mention that the two antennas are transmitting two different signals at the same time. As per claim 1, this limitation occurs in the preamble and so it will not be given patentable weight.

Page 2

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/497,743

Art Unit: 2631

## Response to Amendment

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7, 9, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Durrant et al. 5,680,414.
- As per claim 1, Durrant teaches a channel spreading method in a CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) communication system which spreads a pair of symbols obtained by repeating a first symbol with a quasi-orthogonal code having a given length (inherent) to transmit the spread symbols through a first antenna and spreads a second symbol and an inverted symbol of said second symbol obtained by repeating said second symbol with said quasi-orthogonal code to transmit the spread symbols through a second antenna at the same time, the method comprising the steps of: spreading one of said pair of symbols (Durrant fig. 10: top cos w1t, top sin w1t) obtained by repeating said first symbol (Durrant fig. 10: top cos w1t is a repetition of top sin w1t but it is just phase shifted) with a portion of said quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant figs. 6, 10: top cos w1t is spread with a portion of chips i.e. the odd portion) and spreading another symbol of said pair of symbols (Durrant figs. 10: top sin w1t) with a remaining portion of said quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant figs 6, 10: top sin w1t is spread with the remaining portion of the chips i.e. the even portion); and spreading the second symbol (Durrant fig. 10: bottom cos w1t) with a portion of said quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant figs. 6, 10: bottom cos w1t is spread with a

Application/Control Number: 09/497,743 Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

portion of chips i.e. the odd portion) and spreading said inverted symbol of said second symbol (Durrant does not teach an inverted symbol i.e. one that would be 180 degrees out of phase with cos w1t. What Durrant teaches is since w1t which is 90 degrees out of phase with cos w1t. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Durrant to teach and inverted signal since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).) with the remaining portion of said quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant figs. 6, 10: bottom sin w1t is spread with a portion of chips i.e. the even portion).

- 8. As per claim 2, Durrant teaches the channel spreading method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the quasi orthogonal code spreading step comprises the step of mixing one symbol with a chip signal of a first half period of the quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant figs. 6, 10: mixing with even chips) and mixing another symbol with a chip signal of a second half period of the quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant figs. 6, 10: mixing with odd chips), so as to spread two symbols for duration of one quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant figs. 6, 10).
- 9. As per claim 4, Durrant teaches a channel spreading device in a CDMA communication system having first and second antennas to perform an orthogonal transmit diversity function, comprising: a first transmitter (Durrant fig. 10: transmission via 706) having a first spreader (Durrant fig. 10: 720, 730) for spreading a pair of symbols (Durrant fig. 10: cost w1t, sin w1t) obtained by repeating a first symbol (Durrant fig. 10: top cos w1t is a repetition of top sin w1t but it is just phase shifted) with a quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant figs. 6, 10: top cos w1t is spread with a portion of chips i.e. the odd portion) having a given length (inherent) to transmit the spread symbols through a first antenna (Durrant fig. 10: the 706 connection can be

Page 5

Art Unit: 2631

considered an antenna), spreading one of said pair of symbols with a portion of said quasiorthogonal code and spreading another symbol of said pair of symbols with a remaining portion
of said quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant: discussed with respect to the discussion of claim 1); and
a second transmitter (Durrant fig. 10: transmission via 707) having a second spreader (Durrant
fig. 10: 740, 742) for spreading a second symbol and an inverted symbol of said second symbol
obtained by repeating said second symbol with said quasi-orthogonal code to transmit the spread
symbols through a second antenna (Durrant fig. 10: the 707 connection can be considered an
antenna), spreading said second symbol with a portion of said quasi-orthogonal code and
spreading said inverted symbol of said second symbol with the remaining portion of said quasiorthogonal code (Durrant: remaining elements are similar to those discussed above).

- 10. As per claim 5, Durrant teaches the channel spreading device as claimed in claim 4, wherein each of the first and second spreaders mixes one symbol with a chip signal of a first half period of the quasi-orthogonal code and mixes another symbol with a chip signal of a second half period of the quasi-orthogonal code, so as to spread two symbols for duration of one quasi orthogonal code (Durrant: discussed with respect to the discussion of claim 2).
- As per claim 7, Durrant teaches a channel spreading method in a CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) communication system comprising the steps of: duplicating a first input symbol to create a first pair of symbols (Durrant fig. 10: top cos w1t is a duplicate of top sin w1t but it is just phase shifted); matching a second input symbol with its complement to create a second pair of symbols (Durrant fig. 10: bottom cos w1t is a complement of bottom sin w1t since it is a 90 degree complement); spreading the first pair of symbols by a first quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant figs. 6, 10: real chips) in order to transmit the spread first pair of symbols through a first

Art Unit: 2631

antenna (Durrant fig. 10: output of 708); and spreading the second pair of symbols by a second quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant figs. 6, 10: imaginary chips) in order to transmit the spread second pair of symbols through a second antenna (Durrant fig. 10: output of 709).

Page 6

- 12. As per claim 9, Durrant teaches the channel spreading method in claim 7 wherein the first and second quasi-orthogonal codes are different (Durrant figs 6, 10: real, imaginary, even, odd).
- 13. As per claim 10, Durrant teaches the channel spreading method in claim 7 wherein one of the first pair of symbols is spread by a portion of the first quasi-orthogonal code and other of the first pair of symbols is spread by the remaining portion of the first quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant: discussed above with respect to other claims).
- 14. As per claim 11, Durant teaches the channel spreading method in claim 7 wherein one of the second pair of symbols is spread by a portion of the second quasi-orthogonal code and other of the second pair of symbols is spread by the remaining portion of the second quasi-orthogonal code (Durrant: discussed above with respect to other claims).
- 15. Claims 7, 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Czaja USPN 6424631.
- 16. As per claim 7, Czaja teaches a channel spreading method in a CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) communication system comprising the steps of: duplicating a first input symbol to create a first pair of symbols (Czaja fig. 6B 622 has S1 repeated); matching a second input symbol (Czaja fig. 6B 622 first S2) with its complement (Czaja fig. 6B 622 second S2) to create a second pair of symbols; spreading the first pair of symbols by a first quasi-orthogonal code in order to transmit the spread first pair of symbols through a first antenna; and spreading the

Art Unit: 2631

second pair of symbols by a second quasi-orthogonal code in order to transmit the spread second pair of symbols through a second antenna (Czaja col. 1 paragraph 2 "In CDMA, each user signal includes a different orthogonal code ... " thus the first pair of symbols can be from one user and a second pair of symbols can be from another user) (Czaja fig. 7 shows 1 antenna. Czaja does not show two antennas. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Czaja to have two antennas since it has been held that duplication of parts requires routine skill in the art.)

Page 7

- 17. As per claim 8, Czaja teaches the channel spreading method in claim 7 wherein the first and second quasi-orthogonal codes are the same (Czaja: since in a CDMA system, there will be multiple users each with a different code, if both the first and second symbol belong to the same user, they will be spread with the same code).
- 18. As per claim 9, Czaja teaches the channel spreading method in claim 7 wherein the first and second quasi-orthogonal codes are different (Czaja: since in a CDMA system, there will be multiple users each with a different code, if both the first symbol belongs to a different user than the second symbol, then the two symbols will be spread with different codes).

## Allowable Subject Matter

19. Claims 3 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The art of record does not suggest the respective claim combinations together and nor would the respective claim combinations be obvious with the following

Art Unit: 2631

underlined portion: generating a mask index and a Walsh code index corresponding to an

Page 8

input index for generating the quasi-orthogonal code

### Conclusion

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. PN 6275519, PN 6490267 (could not use due to application's foreign priority date).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (703) 305-0194. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs, Fri after 8AM to after 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H. Pham can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

PK July 10, 2003 TEMESGHEN GHEBRETINSAE PRIMARY EXAMINER